

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s): Brack et al.	
Application No.: 10/802,705	
Filed: 03/17/2004	Group Art Unit: 1625
Title: Process for the production of polycarbonate	Examiner: Oh, Taylor V
Attorney Docket No.: GEPL.P-086-2	

Commissioner for Patents
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE

Dear Sir:

This paper is filed in response to the Official Action mailed December 14, 2006 for the above-captioned application. Reconsideration of the application in light of the following amendments and remarks is respectfully requested.

The Examiner provisionally rejects claims 1-13 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 13-31 of US Application serial number 10/027,138. The Examiner also issues the same rejection of claims 1-13 over claims 1-12 of US Application serial number 10/247,026.

Applicants note that both of the cited applications have been abandoned and cannot be used as references in this double patenting rejection. *See* Exhibit A, printouts from PAIR regarding the status of these applications. Applicants therefore respectfully request the Examiner to withdraw the obvious-type double patenting rejections.